UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/532,202 | 04/14/2005 | Steffen Hasenzahl | 032301.415 | 6755 |
| 25461 7590 11/17/2008 SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL EXA | | | | IINER |
| SUITE 3100, P | ROMENADE II | | GODENSCHWAGER, PETER F | |
| 1230 PEACHTI ATLANTA, GA | REE STREET, N.E. A 30309-3592 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/17/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Intensions Summans | 10/532,202 | HASENZAHL ET AL. | | | | |
| Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER | 1796 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) <u>PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER</u> . | (3)Robert Weilacher. | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Mark Eashoo</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>13 November 2008</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>Those pending</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>That of record</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Proposed amendment limiting the structure-modified silica of claims 1, 2, and 4 to silica with octylsilyl and/or hexadecylsilyl groups was discussed. It was agreed that the proposed amendment appears to overcome the art of record.</u> | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPROLUTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUB | last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V | been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS | LICANT IS 'HIS | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | /Mark Eashoo/ | nit 1706 | | | | |